

$\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant maps between vector bundles over tori and KO-degree

Ko Ohashi

The University of Tokyo

September 12, 2018

This talk is based on joint work with M. Furuta and Y. Kametani.

Table of Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 sp -bundles and the Ksp -group
- 3 Borsuk-Ulam type Inequality
- 4 $10/8$ -type inequality
- 5 KO -degree
- 6 Proof

- 1 Introduction
- 2 sp -bundles and the Ksp -group
- 3 Borsuk-Ulam type Inequality
- 4 $10/8$ -type inequality
- 5 KO -degree
- 6 Proof

Introduction

- This talk concerns $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant maps between $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over tori.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W \\ & \searrow \text{proj.} & \swarrow \text{proj.} \\ & \tilde{T}^n & \end{array}$$

Introduction

- This talk concerns $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant maps between $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over tori.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W \\ & \searrow \text{proj.} & \swarrow \text{proj.} \\ & \tilde{T}^n & \end{array}$$

- I will explain the background and motivation of this talk.

Introduction

- This talk concerns $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant maps between $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over tori.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W \\ & \searrow \text{proj.} & \swarrow \text{proj.} \\ & \tilde{T}^n & \end{array}$$

- I will explain the background and motivation of this talk.

Convention

- ▶ All manifolds are assumed to be connected, closed, and smooth.
- ▶ $\text{Pin}(2)$ is defined as the subset $S^1 \cup jS^1$ of the quaternions \mathbb{H} .

The Monopole Map

- Let X be a spin 4-manifold with indefinite intersection form and $b_2^+(X) > 0$. Fix a Riemannian metric on X .

The Monopole Map

- Let X be a spin 4-manifold with indefinite intersection form and $b_2^+(X) > 0$. Fix a Riemannian metric on X .
- We consider the monopole equation as the map

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \text{Ker}(\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega^0) \oplus \Gamma(S^+) &\rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \times (\Omega^+ \oplus \Gamma(S^-)), \\ \Phi(a, s) &= ((a)_{\text{harmonic}}, d^+a + q(s), D_a s)\end{aligned}$$

where

- ▶ Ω^p is the set of all differential p -forms on X ,
- ▶ $\Gamma(S^\pm)$ is the set of all sections of the half-spinor bundles S^\pm of X ,
- ▶ $q : \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow \Omega^+$ is the quadratic map,
- ▶ $(a)_{\text{harmonic}}$ is the harmonic part of $a \in \Omega^1$,
- ▶ D is the Dirac operator.

Symmetry

The monopole map

$$\Phi : \text{Ker}(\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega^0) \oplus \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \times (\Omega^+ \oplus \Gamma(S^-))$$

Symmetry

The monopole map

$$\Phi : \text{Ker}(\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega^0) \oplus \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \times (\Omega^+ \oplus \Gamma(S^-))$$

- The spin structure of X provides the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariance of Φ .
 - ▶ $\text{Pin}(2)$ acts on Ω^p and $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ via $\text{Pin}(2) \rightarrow \text{Pin}(2)/S^1 = \{\pm 1\}$.
 - ▶ $\text{Pin}(2)$ acts on $\Gamma(S^\pm) = \Gamma(P \times_{\text{Spin}} \mathbb{H}^\pm)$ via $\text{Pin}(2) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(1)$.
 - ▶ Φ is $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant.

Symmetry

The monopole map

$$\Phi : \text{Ker}(\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega^0) \oplus \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \times (\Omega^+ \oplus \Gamma(S^-))$$

- The spin structure of X provides the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariance of Φ .
 - ▶ $\text{Pin}(2)$ acts on Ω^p and $H^1(X; \mathbb{R})$ via $\text{Pin}(2) \rightarrow \text{Pin}(2)/S^1 = \{\pm 1\}$.
 - ▶ $\text{Pin}(2)$ acts on $\Gamma(S^\pm) = \Gamma(P \times_{\text{Spin}} \mathbb{H}^\pm)$ via $\text{Pin}(2) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(1)$.
 - ▶ Φ is $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant.
- The gauge symmetry provides the $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ -equivariance of Φ .
 - ▶ $a \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\omega \in \Omega^1, \eta \in \Omega^+$ and $s \in \Gamma(S^\pm)$ by

$$a \cdot \omega = (a)_{\text{harmonic}} + \omega, \quad a \cdot \eta = \eta, \quad a \cdot s = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\rho(a))s.$$

Here $\rho : H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is defined as

$$\rho([\omega])(x) = \int_{x_0}^x \omega \mod \mathbb{Z}, \quad x \in X, \quad \omega \in \Omega^1.$$

- ▶ Φ is $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ -equivariant.

Finite-dimensional approximation

- By taking the quotient by $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and a finite dimensional approximation of the map

$$\Phi : \text{Ker}(\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d^*} \Omega^0) \oplus \Gamma(S^+) \rightarrow H^1(X; \mathbb{R}) \times (\Omega^+ \oplus \Gamma(S^-)),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \phi : V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & W, \\ & \searrow \text{proj.} & \swarrow \text{proj.} \\ & J_X & \end{array}$$

where V and W are finite dimensional real $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over the Jacobian torus $J_X = H^1(X; \mathbb{R})/H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$.

Properties of a finite-dimensional approximation ϕ

- ① $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant map.

Properties of a finite-dimensional approximation ϕ

- ① $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant map.
- ② The linear action of $-1 \in \text{Pin}(2)$ on V induces the decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. Here $V_0 = \{v \mid (-1) \cdot v = v\}$ and $V_1 = \{v \in V \mid (-1) \cdot v = -v\}$. Similarly we have $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.

Properties of a finite-dimensional approximation ϕ

- ① $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant map.
- ② The linear action of $-1 \in \text{Pin}(2)$ on V induces the decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. Here $V_0 = \{v \mid (-1) \cdot v = v\}$ and $V_1 = \{v \in V \mid (-1) \cdot v = -v\}$. Similarly we have $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.
- ③ $\phi|_{V_0} : V_0 \rightarrow W_0$ satisfies the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & W_0 \\ \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\ J_X \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^x & \xrightarrow[\text{inclusion}]{} & J_X \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{x+\ell} \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ is the 1-dimensional real representation of $\text{Pin}(2)$ defined by $j \cdot x = -x$ and $z \cdot x = x$ for $z \in S^1, x \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$.

Properties of a finite-dimensional approximation ϕ

- ① $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant map.
- ② The linear action of $-1 \in \text{Pin}(2)$ on V induces the decomposition $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$. Here $V_0 = \{v \mid (-1) \cdot v = v\}$ and $V_1 = \{v \in V \mid (-1) \cdot v = -v\}$. Similarly we have $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.
- ③ $\phi|_{V_0} : V_0 \rightarrow W_0$ satisfies the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V_0 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & W_0 \\
 \cong \uparrow & & \uparrow \cong \\
 J_X \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^x & \xrightarrow[\text{inclusion}]{} & J_X \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{x+\ell}
 \end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ is the 1-dimensional real representation of $\text{Pin}(2)$ defined by $j \cdot x = -x$ and $z \cdot x = x$ for $z \in S^1, x \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$.

- ④ V_1 and W_1 are the realification of “sp-bundles” V_{sp} and W_{sp} . such that some class of the “Ksp-invariants” of the difference between W_{sp} and V_{sp} vanish.

Main results

- ① A Borsuk-Ulam type inequality for the existence of $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant maps
- ② A 10/8-type inequality for $b_1(X) \geq 0$
- ③ Determination of the KO_Γ -degree of ϕ for $b_2^+(X)$ even

- 1 Introduction
- 2 **sp-bundles and the Ksp-group**
- 3 Borsuk-Ulam type Inequality
- 4 10/8-type inequality
- 5 KO-degree
- 6 Proof

Contents

- ① sp -bundle and the Ksp -group
- ② $\mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{T}^n)$
- ③ The realification of a sp -bundle

sp-bundle

- Let B be a C_2 -space (C_2 denotes the cyclic group of order two).
- $j_B : B \rightarrow B$: the involution on B .

Definition (Dupont)

A sp-bundle is a complex vector bundle V over B with an antilinear map $J : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying the following conditions.

- ① J is a lift of j_B . That is $\pi_V \circ J = j_B \circ \pi_V$.
- ② $J \circ J = -\text{id}_V$.

Ksp-group

Definition

For any compact Hausdorff C_2 -space B , the Ksp-group $\text{Ksp}(B)$ of B is defined by

$$\text{Ksp}(B) = F(B)/Q(B),$$

- *$F(B)$ is the free abelian group generated by the isom. classes of sp -bundles over B .*
- *$Q(B)$ is the subgroup of $F(B)$ generated by $[V] + [W] - [V \oplus W]$, $V, W : sp\text{-bundles}$*

Ksp-group

Definition

For any compact Hausdorff C_2 -space B , the Ksp-group $\text{Ksp}(B)$ of B is defined by

$$\text{Ksp}(B) = F(B)/Q(B),$$

- $F(B)$ is the free abelian group generated by the isom. classes of sp -bundles over B .
- $Q(B)$ is the subgroup of $F(B)$ generated by $[V] + [W] - [V \oplus W]$, $V, W : sp\text{-bundles}$

Definition

For any locally compact C_2 -space U , $\text{Ksp}(U)$ is defined by

$$\text{Ksp}(U) = \text{Ker}(\text{Ksp}(U^+) \rightarrow \text{Ksp}(\{\infty\})),$$

where $U^+ = U \cup \{\infty\}$ is the one-point compactification of U .

Computations

Example

- ① The Ksp-group of $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ (with $j_B(v) = -v$) is given by

$$\mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & p \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{8} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Computations

Example

- ① The Ksp-group of $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ (with $j_B(v) = -v$) is given by

$$\mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & p \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{8} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- ② Let \tilde{T}^n be the n -dimensional torus $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. The Ksp-group of \tilde{T}^n can be computed by

$$\mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{T}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{S \subset [n]} \mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S),$$

where the symbol $[n]$ stands for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S$ denotes the set of all maps from S to $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$.

The realification of a sp-bundle

Remark

For any C_2 -space B , we regard B as a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -space via the map $\text{Pin}(2) \rightarrow \text{Pin}(2)/S^1 = C_2$.

Definition

The realification of a sp-bundle (V, J) is the underlying real vector bundle rV with the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -action defined by

$$z \cdot v := zv, \quad j \cdot v := J(v)$$

for $v \in rV, z \in S^1$.

sp-bundles \rightsquigarrow real $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles

- 1 Introduction
- 2 sp -bundles and the Ksp -group
- 3 Borsuk-Ulam type Inequality**
- 4 $10/8$ -type inequality
- 5 KO -degree
- 6 Proof

Contents

- ① Setting and Assumptions
- ② The Ksp-invariants a_S
- ③ Definition of ν_S
- ④ Inequality

Setting

- Let V and W be $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over \tilde{T}^n , $n \geq 0$.

Setting

- Let V and W be $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over \tilde{T}^n , $n \geq 0$.
- Given a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$.

Setting

- Let V and W be $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over \tilde{T}^n , $n \geq 0$.
- Given a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$.
- The linear action by $-1 \in \text{Pin}(2)$ on V, W defines the decompositions $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$, respectively.

Setting

- Let V and W be $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over \tilde{T}^n , $n \geq 0$.
- Given a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$.
- The linear action by $-1 \in \text{Pin}(2)$ on V, W defines the decompositions $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$, respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V = V_0 \oplus V_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & W = W_0 \oplus W_1 \\ \text{proj.} \searrow & & \swarrow \text{proj.} \\ & \tilde{T}^n & \end{array}$$

Setting

- Let V and W be $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles over \tilde{T}^n , $n \geq 0$.
- Given a proper, fiber-preserving, $\text{Pin}(2)$ -map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$.
- The linear action by $-1 \in \text{Pin}(2)$ on V, W defines the decompositions $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$, respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V = V_0 \oplus V_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & W = W_0 \oplus W_1 \\ & \searrow \text{proj.} \quad \swarrow \text{proj.} & \\ & \tilde{T}^n & \end{array}$$

Assumptions

- V_0, W_0 are given by $V_0 = \tilde{T}^n \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^x$, $W_0 = \tilde{T}^n \times \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{x+\ell}$ with $\ell > 0$.
- $\phi|_{V_0} : V_0 \rightarrow W_0$ is given by the inclusion map $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^x \hookrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{x+\ell}$.
- V_1, W_1 are given by the realifications of sp -bundles $V_{\text{sp}}, W_{\text{sp}}$ over \tilde{T}^n , respectively.

Ksp-invariant

The sp-bundles V_{sp}, W_{sp} define an element $a := [W_{sp}] - [V_{sp}] \in \text{Ksp}(\tilde{T}^n)$. Using the isomorphism $\text{Ksp}(\tilde{T}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{S \subset [n]} \text{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S)$, it can be decomposed as

$$a = \sum_{S \subset [n]} a_S$$

where

$$a_S \in \text{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & |S| \equiv_8 0, 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & |S| \equiv_8 2, 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Ksp-invariant

The sp-bundles V_{sp}, W_{sp} define an element $a := [W_{sp}] - [V_{sp}] \in \text{Ksp}(\tilde{T}^n)$. Using the isomorphism $\text{Ksp}(\tilde{T}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{S \subset [n]} \text{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S)$, it can be decomposed as

$$a = \sum_{S \subset [n]} a_S$$

where

$$a_S \in \text{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & |S| \equiv_8 0, 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & |S| \equiv_8 2, 3 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Assumption

If $|S| > 4$, then $a_S = 0$.

Invariant ν_S

Invariant ν_S

- 1 Let S be a subset of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Invariant ν_S

- 1 Let S be a subset of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- 2 The integer $N_m(S)$, $m \geq 0$ is defined to be the number of families $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ of S such that

$$S_i \neq S_j \ (i \neq j), \ S = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m, \ |S_i| \in \{2, 3, 4\}, \\ a_{S_i} \neq 0 \in \mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{S_i}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Invariant ν_S

- 1 Let S be a subset of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- 2 The integer $N_m(S)$, $m \geq 0$ is defined to be the number of families $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ of S such that

$$S_i \neq S_j \ (i \neq j), \ S = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m, \ |S_i| \in \{2, 3, 4\}, \\ a_{S_i} \neq 0 \in \mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{S_i}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

- 3 The integer $N(S)$ is defined by

$$N(S) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-2)^m N_m(S).$$

Invariant ν_S

- 1 Let S be a subset of $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.
- 2 The integer $N_m(S)$, $m \geq 0$ is defined to be the number of families $\{S_1, \dots, S_m\}$ of S such that

$$S_i \neq S_j \ (i \neq j), \ S = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m, \ |S_i| \in \{2, 3, 4\}, \\ a_{S_i} \neq 0 \in \mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^{S_i}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

- 3 The integer $N(S)$ is defined by

$$N(S) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-2)^m N_m(S).$$

- 4 The integer ν_S is defined by

$$\nu_S := \max\{\nu \mid 2^\nu \text{ divides } N(S)\}$$

Main result

Theorem

If there exists a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -map $\phi : V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \rightarrow W = W_0 \oplus W_1$ satisfying the assumptions, then we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_S &\geq 2k_S + \varepsilon(k_S, \ell, |S|) \\ (\Leftrightarrow \ell &\geq 2k + |S| - 2\nu_S + \varepsilon(k - \nu_S, \ell, |S|)). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\ell_S = \ell - |S|$, $k_S = k - \nu_S$, and $\varepsilon(k_S, \ell, |S|)$ is defined by the following table.

	$ S $: even				$ S $: odd			
p	0	1	2	3	0	1	2	3
$\varepsilon(p, \ell, S)$	$3 \ (l \neq 2)$ $1 \ (l = 2)$	1	2	3	2	1	2	2

- 1 Introduction
- 2 sp -bundles and the Ksp -group
- 3 Borsuk-Ulam type Inequality
- 4 $10/8$ -type inequality**
- 5 KO -degree
- 6 Proof

Contents

- ① Setting
- ② Calculation of $a_5(X)$
- ③ 10/8-type inequality
- ④ Example $X = X' \# (\#^m T^4)$

Setting

- Let X be a spin 4-manifold with indefinite intersection form and $b_2^+(X) > 0$.
- Let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a finite-dimensional approximation of the monopole map Φ .
- $\ell := b_2^+(X)$, $k := -\text{sign}(X)/16$.
- Let $a(X) = \sum_{S \subset [n]} a_S(X)$ be the Ksp-invariant.

Definition of Σ_L

- Let L be a sublattice of $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with rank r .

Definition of Σ_L

- Let L be a sublattice of $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with rank r .
- We define the submanifold Σ_L of X as follows:

Definition of Σ_L

- Let L be a sublattice of $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with rank r .
- We define the submanifold Σ_L of X as follows:
- $T_L := \text{Hom}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})/L, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a subtorus of $\text{Hom}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ of codimension r .

Definition of Σ_L

- Let L be a sublattice of $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ with rank r .
- We define the submanifold Σ_L of X as follows:
- $T_L := \text{Hom}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})/L, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is a subtorus of $\text{Hom}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ of codimension r .
- Then $\Sigma_L := \rho^{-1}(T_L)$ is a submanifold of X of codimension r . Here $\rho : X \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the Albanese map. The spin structure of X induces a spin structure of Σ_L .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_L & \hookrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ \rho \uparrow & & \uparrow \rho \\ \Sigma_L & \hookrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

Calculation of $a_S(X)$

- Fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$.
- For any subset $S \subset [n]$, we define $L(S)$ as the sublattice generated by the set $\{x_s | s \in S\}$ and set $\Sigma_S := \Sigma_{L(S)}$.

Calculation of $a_S(X)$

- Fix a \mathbb{Z} -basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$.
- For any subset $S \subset [n]$, we define $L(S)$ as the sublattice generated by the set $\{x_s | s \in S\}$ and set $\Sigma_S := \Sigma_{L(S)}$.

Theorem

Under the isomorphism $\mathrm{Ksp}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S) \cong \mathrm{KO}^{|S|-4}(\mathrm{pt.})$, we have

$$a_S(X) = \alpha([\Sigma_S]),$$

where α denotes the α -invariant $\alpha : \Omega_^{\mathrm{spin}} \rightarrow \mathrm{KO}^{-*}(\mathrm{pt.})$.*

Corollary

By Theorem $a_S(X) = \alpha([\Sigma_S])$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary

- If $|S| > 4$, then $a_S(X) = 0$.
- If $|S| = 0$ or 4 , then it can be written (up to sign) as

$$a_S(X) = \begin{cases} \text{sign}(X)/16 & |S| = 0 \\ \int_X x_S & |S| = 4, \ x_S = \cup_{s \in S} x_s \end{cases}$$

Theorem

$$b_2^+(X) \geq -\frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + |S| - \nu_S + \varepsilon(k_S, \ell, |S|)$$

Theorem

$$b_2^+(X) \geq -\frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + |S| - \nu_S + \varepsilon(k_S, \ell, |S|)$$

Corollary ($S = \emptyset$)

If $b_2^+(X) \neq 2$, then

$$b_2^+(X) \geq -\frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + \begin{cases} 3 & k \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & k \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2 & k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Corollary ($S = \emptyset$)

If $b_2^+(X) \neq 2$, then

$$b_2^+(X) \geq -\frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + \begin{cases} 3 & k \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & k \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2 & k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

Remark

- 1 In the case $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the inequality $(*)$ is Furuta's 10/8-inequality (2001).
- 2 In the case $k \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, the inequality $(*)$ was first proved by N. Minami and B. Schimdt (2003) independently. They destabilize a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -map and apply a result by S. Stolz on $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant maps.
- 3 In the case $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, J. Lin (2015) proved the inequality $(*)$ using the $\text{KO}_{\text{Pin}(2)}$ -theoretical Euler classes, degree and the Adams operations.

Example

Theorem^{bis}

$$\ell_S \geq 2k_S + \varepsilon(k_S, \ell, |S|).$$

Example

If X is decomposed as $X = X' \# (\#^m T^4)$, then we have

$$b_2^+(X) \geq -\frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + 2m + \begin{cases} 3 & \frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + m \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \\ 2 & \frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \frac{\text{sign}(X)}{8} + m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

This follows from Theorem by taking S as a basis of $H^1(\#^m T^4; \mathbb{Z})$. In this case, $|S| = 4m$ and $\nu_S = m$.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 sp -bundles and the Ksp -group
- 3 Borsuk-Ulam type Inequality
- 4 $10/8$ -type inequality
- 5 KO-degree**
- 6 Proof

Contents

- ① The Thom isomorphism theorem for the equivariant KO-theory
- ② KO-Euler class and KO-degree
- ③ Spin structures and a double covering Γ of $\text{Pin}(2)$
- ④ The KO-degree of ϕ in $\text{KO}_{\Gamma}^*(\tilde{T}^n)[e_{\text{KO}}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}]$

Thom isomorphism theorem

Notation

- B : a compact Hausdorff G -space
- V : a vector bundle V over B

$$KO_G^*(V) := \widetilde{KO}_G(V \cup \{\infty\}).$$

Theorem (Atiyah)

Let V be a real spin G -vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff G -space B . Then there exists $t_{KO}(V) \in KO_G^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V}(V)$ such that the map

$$KO_G^*(B) \rightarrow KO_G^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V}(V), \quad x \mapsto \pi^*x \cup t_{KO}(V),$$

is an isomorphism, where $\pi : V \rightarrow B$ is the projection.

KO-Euler class and KO-degree

Definition

Let V, W be spin G -vector bundles over B and let $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ be a fibre-preserving proper G -map.

- ① The KO_G -**Euler class** $e_{\mathrm{KO}}(V) \in \mathrm{KO}_G^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V}(B)$ is defined by

$$e_{\mathrm{KO}}(V) = s^*(t_{\mathrm{KO}}(V))$$

where $s : B \rightarrow V$ is the zero section.

- ② The KO_G -**degree** $\deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi) \in \mathrm{KO}_G^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} W - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V}(B)$ is defined by

$$\deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi) t_{\mathrm{KO}}(V) = \phi^*(t_{\mathrm{KO}}(W)).$$

Spin structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$

- To define the KO-degree of ϕ , it is necessary to put $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.

Spin structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$

- To define the KO-degree of ϕ , it is necessary to put $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.
- The sp-structures on V_1, W_1 induce the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures.

Spin structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$

- To define the KO-degree of ϕ , it is necessary to put $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.
- The sp -structures on V_1, W_1 induce the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures.
- Next we consider $V_0 \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}^x$ and $W_0 \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{x+\ell}$.
- When does $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ admit a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structure?

Spin structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$

- To define the KO-degree of ϕ , it is necessary to put $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.
- The sp -structures on V_1, W_1 induce the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures.
- Next we consider $V_0 \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}^x$ and $W_0 \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{x+\ell}$.
- When does $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ admit a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structure?

Lemma

- *The $\text{Pin}(2)$ -representation $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ admit a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structure if and only if p is a multiple of 4.*

Spin structure on $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$

- To define the KO-degree of ϕ , it is necessary to put $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures on $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ and $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$.
- The sp -structures on V_1, W_1 induce the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structures.
- Next we consider $V_0 \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}^x$ and $W_0 \cong \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{x+\ell}$.
- When does $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ admit a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structure?

Lemma

- *The $\text{Pin}(2)$ -representation $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ admit a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -spin structure if and only if p is a multiple of 4.*
- *The $\text{Pin}(2)$ -representation $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ admit a $\text{Pin}(2)$ -invariant orientation if and only if p is even.*

The double covering Γ of $\text{Pin}(2)$

Definition

We define the double covering Γ of $\text{Pin}(2)$ as follows:

- Let C_4 be the cyclic group of order 4 with a generator j_4 .
- The C_4 -action $j_4 \cdot t = t^{-1}$, $t \in S^1$ defined by defines the semi direct product $S^1 \rtimes C_4$, which we denote by Γ .
- The double covering map $\Gamma = S^1 \rtimes C_4 \rightarrow \text{Pin}(2) = S^1 \cup jS^1$ is defined by

$$z \in S^1 \mapsto z \in S^1, \quad j_4 \in C_4 \mapsto j.$$

The double covering Γ of $\text{Pin}(2)$

Definition

We define the double covering Γ of $\text{Pin}(2)$ as follows:

- Let C_4 be the cyclic group of order 4 with a generator j_4 .
- The C_4 -action $j_4 \cdot t = t^{-1}$, $t \in S^1$ defined by defines the semi direct product $S^1 \rtimes C_4$, which we denote by Γ .
- The double covering map $\Gamma = S^1 \rtimes C_4 \rightarrow \text{Pin}(2) = S^1 \cup jS^1$ is defined by

$$z \in S^1 \mapsto z \in S^1, \quad j_4 \in C_4 \mapsto j.$$

Lemma

The Γ -representation $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^p$ admit a Γ -spin structure if and only if p is even.

The KO-degree

Corollary

Suppose that ℓ is even.

- By stabilizing by $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ if necessary, $V_0 \cong \underline{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}^x$ and $W_0 \cong \underline{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}^{x+\ell}$ admit Γ -spin structures, and
- the KO-degree of ϕ is defined.

The KO-degree

Corollary

Suppose that ℓ is even.

- By stabilizing by $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ if necessary, $V_0 \cong \underline{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}^x$ and $W_0 \cong \underline{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}^{x+\ell}$ admit Γ -spin structures, and
 - the KO-degree of ϕ is defined.
-
- We calculate the KO-degree $\deg_{\text{KO}}(\phi)$ of ϕ in the algebra

$$\text{KO}_{\Gamma}^*(\tilde{T}^n)[e_{\text{KO}}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}].$$

The KO-degree

Corollary

Suppose that ℓ is even.

- By stabilizing by $\tilde{\mathbb{R}}$ if necessary, $V_0 \cong \underline{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}^x$ and $W_0 \cong \underline{\tilde{\mathbb{R}}}^{x+\ell}$ admit Γ -spin structures, and
 - the KO-degree of ϕ is defined.
-
- We calculate the KO-degree $\deg_{\text{KO}}(\phi)$ of ϕ in the algebra

$$\text{KO}_{\Gamma}^*(\tilde{T}^n)[e_{\text{KO}}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}].$$

Remark

Since $\phi|_{V_0}$ defines the map from V_0 to W_0 , we can “destabilizing” $\deg_{\text{KO}}(\phi)$ with respect to $e_{\text{KO}}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^2)$. Thus we do not need to add the inverse of $e_{\text{KO}}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^2)$ to $\text{KO}_{\Gamma}^(\tilde{T}^n)[e_{\text{KO}}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}]$.*

Theorem

Suppose that ℓ is even. Under the isomorphism

$$KO_{\Gamma}^{\ell-4k}(\tilde{T}^n)[e_{KO}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}] \cong \bigoplus_{S \subset [n]} KO_{\Gamma}^{\ell-4k}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S)[e_{KO}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}],$$

the KO -degree of $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ can be written as

$$[\deg_{KO}(\phi)] = \sum_{S \subset [n]} \Lambda_S [\beta_S],$$

where $\beta_S \in KO_{\Gamma}^{\ell-4k}(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}^S)$ and $\Lambda_S \in \mathbb{Z}$ are given by

$$\Lambda_S = \begin{cases} \pm N(S) 2^{\lceil d_S/2 \rceil + k - 2} & d_S \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4} \\ \pm N(S) 2^{\lceil d_S/2 \rceil + k - 1} & d_S \equiv 3, 4 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 sp -bundles and the Ksp -group
- 3 Borsuk-Ulam type Inequality
- 4 $10/8$ -type inequality
- 5 KO -degree
- 6 Proof**

Borsuk-Ulam type inequality follows from the following lemma.

Lemma

Suppose that l is a positive **even** integer. For each $S \subset [n]$, we have

$$\ell_S \geq 2k_S + \varepsilon_0(d_S)$$

where $d_S = l - 4k - |S|$ and $\varepsilon_0(d)$ is defined by the following table and $\varepsilon_0(d + 8) = \varepsilon_0(d)$.

$d \bmod 8$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\varepsilon_0(d)$	2	3	4	3	4	3	2	1

Lemma

Lemma

Let E_1, E_2, F_1, F_2 be G -spin vector bundles. For any fibre-preserving proper G -map

$$\phi : E_1 \oplus E_2 \rightarrow F_1 \oplus F_2$$

satisfying $\phi(E_1) \subset F_1$, we have

$$\deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi) e_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_2) = e_{\mathrm{KO}}(F_2) \deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi|_{E_1}).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 \oplus E_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & F_1 \oplus F_2 \\ \uparrow i_{E_1} & & \uparrow i_{F_1} \\ E_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi|_{E_1}} & W_1 \end{array}$$

Proof of Lemma

By the definition of the KO-degree, we have

$$\deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi) \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_1 \oplus E_2) = \phi^*(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(F_1 \oplus F_2)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} i_{E_1}^*(\text{L.H.S}) &= \deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi) \deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(i_{E_1}) \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_1) \\ &= \deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi) e_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_2) \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} i_{E_1}^*(\text{R.H.S}) &= \phi^* i_{F_1}^*(\mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(F_1 \oplus F_2)) \\ &= \phi^*(\deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(i_{F_1}) \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_1)) \\ &= e_{\mathrm{KO}}(F_2) \deg_{\mathrm{KO}}(\phi|_{E_1}) \mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_1) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathrm{KO}}(E_1)$, we obtain the required equation. \square

Sketch of the proof

Step 1 Find $\text{Pin}(2)$ -vector bundles representing $[V_1]$ and $[W_1]$ in terms of the Ksp-invariants $\{a_S\}_{S \subset [n]}$.

Step 2 Substitute the result of Step 1 for the equation

$$\deg_{\text{KO}}(\phi) e_{\text{KO}}(V_1) = e_{\text{KO}}(W_1) \deg_{\text{KO}}(\phi|_{V_1}).$$

Step 3 Calculate the KO-degree using the complexification map $\text{KO}_\Gamma^*[e_{\text{KO}}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}] \rightarrow K_\Gamma^*[e_{\text{KO}}(\mathbb{H})^{-1}]$.

Step 4 We obtain that for each S the coefficient Λ_S of β_S in the equation

$$[\deg_{\text{KO}}(\phi)] = \sum_{S \subset [n]} \Lambda_S [\beta_S]$$

is an integer or an even integer. These conditions imply the required inequality.